

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,638	05/09/2006	Hiroki Kaihori	MAT-8849US	3451	
52473 RATNERPRE	7590 10/15/200 STIA	8	EXAM	IINER	
P.O. BOX 980			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2437		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,638	KAIHORI, HIROK	1
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFFERY WILLIAMS	2437	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

earned	patent	term	adjustment.	See	31	CFR	1./04	(D).

Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET: WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.39(a). In no state of (b), (b), (d) and (c)	THIS COMMUNICATION.  event, however, may a reply be timely filed  d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, application to become ABANDONED (36 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2006						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exce	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	n requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep	oted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is req						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have b</li> </ol>	een received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have b</li></ol>						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu</li></ol>	ments have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce	ertified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5906.	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office
PTQL-326 (	Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2137

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 - 24 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 – 24, they are replete with issues rendering the meaning of the claims unclear. For example, claim 1 recites "...the one being stored in the fourth storage..." within lines 25 and 26. The examiner notes that there appears to be no indication as to the meaning of this recitation within the claim or to what "the one" is referencing. Furthermore, the claims are replete with instances wherein claim language is illogically recited in the alternative and produce limitations inconsistent with the applicant's disclosure and the claims themselves, thereby rendering the scope of the claims unclear. For example, claim 1 recites "wherein, one of the immobilizer unit and the portable unit further has an information reception part connected with one of the first data processor and the second data processor". However, it is noted that the applicant's specification fails to disclose an immobilizer unit with an information

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/578,638

Art Unit: 2137

reception part connected with a second data processor, as is suggested by the present language. For example, claim 5 recites "upon input of a second instruction into the information reception part ... one of the first data processor and the second processor generates and stores, into one of the second storage and the third storage". However, it is noted that the claims fail to include essential elements or steps necessary for showing one of ordinary skill in the art how an instruction received by one computing device (e.g. the information reception part of a first data processor – as suggested by the claims) results in another independent and separate computing device performing a function (e.g. the second processor generates and stores - as suggested by the claims). Additionally, it is noted that the claims fail to include essential elements or steps necessary for showing one of ordinary skill in the art how a computing device (e.g. a first data processor - as suggested by the claims) stores data within the memory of a independent and separate computing device (e.g. generates and stores, into ... the third storage" - as suggested by the claims). See MPEP § 2172.01. Furthermore, the applicant's disclosure fails to provide a disclosure of such limitations.

Claims 2 – 4, and 6 – 24 comprise many similar issues and the applicant is respectfully encouraged to correct all such deficiencies so as to render the scope of the claims clear.

Art Unit: 2137

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treatly in the English language.

Claims 1 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsuji et al. (Tsuji), "Remote Control System", U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0056776.

Regarding claim 1, as best understood by the examiner, it is noted that Tsuji discloses:

an immobilizer unit including: a first data processor; a first communication part connected with the first data processor; a first antenna connected with the first communication part; a first storage connected with the first data processor (Tsuji, fig. 1:2),

the first storage preliminarily storing first data for mutual authentication (Tsuji, fig. 11, par. 88); and a second storage connected with the first data processor (Tsuji, fig. 11 – herein Tsuji discloses a plurality of locations for storage ("storage"));

and a portable unit including: a second data processor; a second communication part connected with the second data processor; a second antenna connected with the

Art Unit: 2137

second communication part; and a third storage connected with the second data processor (fig. 1:1),

the third storage preliminarily storing the first data for mutual authentication (Tsuji, fig. 11);

and a fourth storage connected with the second data processor, the fourth storage preliminarily storing one of the first data for mutual authentication and second data for mutual authentication different from the first data for mutual authentication (Tsuji, fig. 11);

wherein, one of the immobilizer unit and the portable unit further has an information reception part connected with one of the first data processor and the second data processor (Tsuji, fig. 1:11,21 and when a first instruction is fed into the information reception part (Tsuji, fig. 1:11,21 – computing devices operate according to instructions), using the first data for mutual authentication stored in the first storage and the first data for mutual authentication stored in the third storage, the first data processor and the second data processor authenticate each other, via the first antenna and the second antenna (Tsuji, par. 84,88,90);

and the second data processor further stores, into the third storage, the one of the first data for mutual authentication and the second data for mutual authentication, the one being stored in the fourth storage, transmits the stored one of the first data for mutual authentication and the second data for mutual authentication via the second antenna, and the first data processor stores, into the second storage, the one of the first

Art Unit: 2137

data for mutual authentication and the second data for mutual authentication received via the first antenna (Tsuii, par. 43, 44, 49, 53).

Regarding claim 4, it is rejected, at least, for the same reasons as claim 1, and furthermore because, Tsuji discloses:

wherein, one of the immobilizer unit and the portable unit further has an information reception part connected with one of the first data processor and the second data processor (Tsuji, fig. 1:11,21), and when a first instruction is fed into the information reception part (Tsuji, fig. 1:11,21 – computing devices operate according to instructions), using the first data for mutual authentication stored in the first storage and the first data for mutual authentication stored in the third storage, the first data processor and the second data processor authenticate each other, via the first antenna and the second antenna (Tsuji, par. 84,88,90);

and the first data processor further generates, stores into the second storage, and transmits via the first antenna, one of data identical to the first data for mutual authentication and second data for mutual authentication different from the first data for mutual authentication (Tsuji, par. 84, lines 1-6, fig. 10:33), and the second data processor stores, into the third storage, the one of the first data for mutual authentication and the second data for mutual authentication received via the second antenna (Tsuji, par. 84, lines 6-10).

Art Unit: 2137

Regarding claim 5, as best understood by the examiner, it is noted that the limitations are not required by the claims (e.g. see recitations "when both..."). However, the examiner points out that Tsuii discloses:

wherein, upon input of a second instruction into the information reception part, when both of data stored in the second storage and the third storage are the second data for mutual authentication, one of the first data processor and the second processor generates and stores, into one of the second storage and the third storage, first accumulation data different from the second data for mutual authentication; and when both of data stored in the second storage and the third storage are identical to the first data for mutual authentication, one of the first data processor and the second data processor generates and stores, into one of the second storage and the third storage, second accumulation data different from the first data for mutual authentication (Tsuji, par. 89).

Regarding claim 6, as best understood by the examiner, it is noted that the limitations are not required by the claims (e.g. see recitations "when both..."). However, the examiner points out that Tsuji discloses:

wherein, upon input of a second instruction into the information reception part, when both of data stored in the second storage and the third storage are the second data for mutual authentication, the first data processor transmits the first data for mutual authentication stored in the first storage via the first antenna, and the second data processor stores, into the third storage, the first data for mutual authentication received

Art Unit: 2137

via the second antenna; and when both of data stored in the second storage and the third storage are identical to the first data for mutual authentication, one of the first data processor and the second data processor generates and stores, into one of the second storage and the third storage, second accumulation data different from the first data for mutual authentication (Tsuji, par. 89).

Regarding claim 7, as best understood by the examiner, it is noted that Tsuji discloses:

wherein the portable unit further has a fifth storage preliminarily storing an ID code, and the first data processor and the second data processor authenticate each other also using the ID code (par. 84 – herein, Tsuji discloses receiving a signal comprising an ID code. The ID code is subsequently held for processing and performing operations using the code, thus requiring a means of storage).

Regarding claim 8, as best understood by the examiner, it is noted that Tsuji discloses:

wherein the immobilizer unit further has a sixth storage, the second data processor transmits, via the second antenna, the ID code stored in the fifth storage, and the first data processor stores, into the sixth storage, the ID code received via the first antenna (Tsuji, par. 84, fig. 10:33).

Art Unit: 2137

Regarding claim 9, as best understood by the examiner, it is noted that Tsuji

discloses:

wherein upon input of a second instruction into the information reception part, the

first data processor generates third accumulation data different from the ID code stored

in the sixth storage, and stores the third accumulation data into the sixth storage (Tsuji,

fig. 11; par. 43).

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 10 – 24, they comprise essentially similar recitations

as claim 1 - 9, and they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

See Notice of References Cited.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire 3 months (not less than 90

days) from the mailing date of this communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2137

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Williams AU 2437

/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437